







UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN					Steffen Loft
WHO estimate of environmental causes of death (x1000) globally in 2002					
Countries according to income	poor	mid	rich	total	
Total number of deaths annually	26.700	16.000	13.000	55.700	
Lack of clean water and hygiene	1538	172	20	1730	
Indoor use of solid fuel	1039	558	22	1619 <sup>a</sup>	
Occupationa exposures	2393	640	176	3209	_
Lead exposure	93	697	22	34	
Urban air pollution	220	426	154	800 <sup>b</sup>	
Climate change	148	5	0	153	_
Total environmental deaths/year	5431	1870	444	7745	
<sup>a</sup> Mainly due to airway disease among women and children <sup>b</sup> Mainly due to cardiovascular disease > respiratory disease > lung cancer					









TOLER	Health effects of ambie	nt air pollutio	n
	A State State State		
	Progression and mortality of cardic cerebrovascular disease and diabe among elderly	ovascular and tes particularly	Long and short-term
Pr ot	ogression (cause of ?) and mortality o ostructive lung disease particularly am	of chronic ong elderly	Long and short-term
C.	ognitive dysfunction, aging, autoimmu	ne diseases and ?	Long-term
	Precipitation of asthma attacks in c progression, cause ?, sensibilisation	hildren and adults, on/adjuvant effects	Long and short-term
Lung cance	and probably other ers	Mortality	
Re	duced fetal growth, low IQ	Reduced lung	growth Long-term











		Table 3. Co	ncentration response fu	unctions propo	sed for us	e in the CEEH health effects	model
		Pollutant	Effect	Age	ICD-10	CRF (RR)	Notes
Centre for Energy, Environment and Health		Ozone	Mortality of any respiratory	All ages/	J00-99	1.04 (1.013-1.067) per 10 ppm in summer months mean of 1-hr max	No threshold. Only April – September.
	Report series	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Cardiopulmonary mortality	30+	I10-70 + J00-99	1.09 (1.03-1.16)	-
Stan 2	ISSN 1904-7495	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Lung cancer mortality	30+	C33-34	1.14 (1.04-1.23)	
		PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Respiratory hospital admissions	all ages	J00-99	1.0114 (1.0062-1.0167)	
CEEH Scientific	Report No 7a	PM <sub>10</sub>	Ischemic heart disease hospital admissions	all ages	120-25	1.008 (1.005-1.01)	
		PM <sub>10</sub>	Dysrhythmia hospital admissions	all ages	147-49	1.008 (1.001-1.014)	
Description of the (	CEEH health effects model - selection	PM <sub>10</sub>	Heart Failure hospital admissions	all ages	150	1.014 (1.005-1.024)	
of concentration-re	sponse functions	PM <sub>10</sub>	Infant mortality	0-1		1.04 (1.02-1.07)	
		PM <sub>10</sub>	Lower respiratory symptoms	symptomatic adults		1.3 days/yr/person*	
Concentrati	ion-response functions	PM <sub>10</sub>	Lower respiratory symptoms	5-14		1.86 days/yr /person*	
		PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Restricted activity days	15-64		0.902 days/yr /person*	
For PM <sub>2.5</sub> , F	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Work Loss days	15-64		0.207 days/yr /person*	
		NO <sub>2</sub>	COPD incidence	adult	J41-44	0.483% (0.068-0.8979)	
		SO <sub>2</sub>	All-cause mortality	Adult	A00- Y98	1.012 (1.007-1.016) per 10 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	24h previous day mean
		PM10	New cases of chronic bronchitis	27+	J41-44 + J47	1.098*	sensitivity analysis
		PM <sub>2.5</sub>	All-cause mortality	30+	A00- Y98	1.06 (1.02-1.11)	sensitivity analysis
		PM10	Cardiac hospital admissions	all ages	100-52	1.009 (1.007-1.01)	sensitivity analysis
		PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Incidence of fatal cardiovascular disease	adult	121-25 + 161-69	females 100% males 50%*	sensitivity analysis
		Recommen	ded CRF (for vearly me	eans of a 10 u	e/m <sup>3</sup> if no	t specified otherwise) in Cl	EEH to be used a



CEEH Scientific Report No 3:Arrow at the National Level using the EVA Model SystemAll EuropeIn DenmarkMajor central power plants10.3 %5.7 %Domestic heating, incl. Wood stoves9.3 %16.3 %Power plants related to industrial production5.3 %4.3 %Production processes, e.g. cement, paper, metals1.9 %3.1 %Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels1.7 %2.3 %Use of solvents, e.g. in paint2.6 %2.5 %Road traffic17.6 %19.3 %Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)7.9 %7.2 %Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %	Cer Enc Rep	ntre for Iergy, Environment and Health Iport series	Cost of health effe	cts of emissions f	rom Danish sources
sessence of Health-Cost Externalities of Air Pollution It the National Level using the EVA Model SystemAll EuropeIn DenmarkMajor central power plants Domestic heating, incl. Wood stoves Power plants related to industrial production Production processes, e.g. cement, paper, metals Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels Use of solvents, e.g. in paint Road traffic Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)10.3 % 9.3 % 4.3 % 9.3 %5.7 % 19.3 % 	Scientific Repo	ort No 3:			
Major central power plants10.3 %5.7 %Domestic heating, incl. Wood stoves9.3 %16.3 %Power plants related to industrial production5.3 %4.3 %Production processes, e.g. cement, paper, metals1.9 %3.1 %Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels1.7 %2.3 %Use of solvents, e.g. in paint2.6 %2.5 %Road traffic17.6 %19.3 %Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)7.9 %7.2 %Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %Sum100 %100 %	ment of Health-Co National Level usir	ost Externalities of Air Pollution ing the EVA Model System		All Europe	In Denmark
Domestic heating, incl. Wood stoves9.3 %16.3 %Power plants related to industrial production5.3 %4.3 %Production processes, e.g. cement, paper, metals1.9 %3.1 %Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels1.7 %2.3 %Use of solvents, e.g. in paint2.6 %2.5 %Road traffic17.6 %19.3 %Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)7.9 %7.2 %Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %	Major centr	ral power plants		10.3 %	5.7 %
Power plants related to industrial production5.3 %4.3 %Production processes, e.g. cement, paper, metals1.9 %3.1 %Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels1.7 %2.3 %Use of solvents, e.g. in paint2.6 %2.5 %Road traffic17.6 %19.3 %Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)7.9 %7.2 %Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %	Domestic h	neating, incl. Wood	stoves	9.3 %	16.3 %
Production processes, e.g. cement, paper, metals1.9 %3.1 %Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels1.7 %2.3 %Use of solvents, e.g. in paint2.6 %2.5 %Road traffic17.6 %19.3 %Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)7.9 %7.2 %Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %Sum100 %100 %	ower plan	nts related to indust	rial production	5.3 %	4.3 %
Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels1.7 %2.3 %Use of solvents, e.g. in paint2.6 %2.5 %Road traffic17.6 %19.3 %Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)7.9 %7.2 %Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %Sum100 %100 %	roduction	n processes, e.g. ce	ment, paper, metals	1.9 %	3.1 %
Use of solvents, e.g. in paint2.6 %2.5 %Road traffic17.6 %19.3 %Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)7.9 %7.2 %Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %Sum100 %100 %	Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels			1.7 %	2.3 %
Road traffic17.6 %19.3 %Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)7.9 %7.2 %Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %Sum100 %100 %	Use of solvents, e.g. in paint			2.6 %	2.5 %
Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)7.9 %7.2 %Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %Sum100 %100 %	Road traffic		17.6 %	19.3 %	
Waste handling and combustion0.6 %0.1 %Agriculture42.8 %39.4 %Sum100 %100 %	Other mobile sources (tractors, lawn movers etc.)		s, lawn movers etc.)	7.9 %	7.2 %
Agriculture         42.8 %         39.4 %           Sum         100 %         100 %	Naste han	dling and combusti	on	0.6 %	0.1 %
Sum 100 % 100 %	Agriculture			42.8 %	39.4 %
	Sum			100 %	100 %
Sum in billion Euro4.90.8	Sum in billion Euro			4.9	0.8
Based on direct effects of primary $\rm PM_{2.5},$ CO and SO_2 and indirect effects via ozone and NO_2 a generating secondary $\rm PM_{2.5}$	3ased on c jenerating	direct effects of prim secondary PM <sub>2.5</sub>	hary $PM_{2.5}$ , CO and SO <sub>2</sub>	and indirect effects via	a ozone and $NO_2$ and SO





## Time-series and case-cross-over studies on associations between air pollutants and short-term health outcomes in Copenhagen

Associations in multipollutant Models	PM <sub>10</sub> mass	PM <sub>10</sub> daily component	PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass	Particle count	NO <sub>2</sub>	CO
Cardiovascular admission (>65 yr)	++	crustal	+	-	+	-
Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest (no hourly assoc)	+		+	-	-	(+)
Ischemic likely thrombotic stroke	(+)			+	(+)	(+)
Respiratory admissions (65 yr)	++	biomass	+	(+)	+	-
Asthma admissions (0-18 yr)	++	vehicle	+	-	+	-
Wheezing (0-1 yr) d.o. (0-3 yr)	+ (+)			+ -	(+) +	(+) (+)

Andersen et al. JESEE 17: 625-36, 2007; Occup Envir Med 65: 458-66, 2008; Thorax 63: 710-6, 2008; ; Eur Heart J 31: 2034-40, 2010

Wichmann et al: Int J Envir Res Pub Health 8: 3712-27, 2011; PLoS One 6:e22904, 2011; Environ Health 11:19, 2012; PLoS One in revision Iskandar et al. Thorax 67:252, 2012





57 053 participants (aged 50-65 years) mainly from Copenhagen were recruited 1993- 1997 Follow-up through 2006 for diagnoses of lung cancer or first admission for asthma or chronic obstructive lung disease (COPD). Exposure assessment in terms of NOx or NO <sub>2</sub> modelled at all adresses from 1971 to censoring of all subjects (>200,000 addresses).			
all	current smokers	never smokers	
1.09 (0.79-1.55)	1.02 (0.71-1.46)	1.51 (0.72-3.16)	
1.13 (1.04-1.22)	1.15 (0.95-1.35)	1.03 (0.88-1.18)	
1.08 (1.02-1.14)	1.07 (1.00-1.14)	1.08 (0.85-1.30)	
*Adjusted for Smoking Status, Smoking Duration, Smoking Intensity, environmental tobacco smoke, body mass index, education, occupational exposure, (and fruit consumption)			
	or diagnoses of lun isease (COPD). Ex rom 1971 to censo all 1.09 (0.79-1.55) 1.13 (1.04-1.22) 1.08 (1.02-1.14) s, Smoking Duration, pational exposure, (a	or diagnoses of lung cancer or first admissisease (COPD). Exposure assessment in rom 1971 to censoring of all subjects (>20 all current smokers 1.09 (0.79-1.55) 1.02 (0.71-1.46) 1.13 (1.04-1.22) 1.15 (0.95-1.35) 1.08 (1.02-1.14) 1.07 (1.00-1.14) s, Smoking Duration, Smoking Intensity, enviro pational exposure, (and fruit consumption)	





















Simple co-benefit analysis of current cycling in Copenhagen					
Transport	million km per year	CO <sub>2</sub> emission ton per year	lives lost/gained per year		
Car travel	1,240	257,000	5 lost to accidents 160 lost to air pollution <sup>1</sup>		
Cycling	427	04	762 gained due to exercise <sup>2</sup> 8 lost to air pollution <sup>3</sup>		
<sup>1</sup> Assuming that 40% of air pollution here in terms of NO <sub>2</sub> is related to car travel and a dose response-relationship from Danish and other European cohort studies indicating a 13% increase in mortality per 9-10 ug/m3 increase in NO <sub>2</sub> at street door,					
<sup>2</sup> Assuming that 50% of Copenhagen citizens cycle to work and that cycling is associated with a 28% reduced mortality (Andersen et al. 2000)					
<sup>3</sup> Assuming that 24-h exposure is increased by 5% by biking for 1 hour in traffic					
<sup>4</sup> Saved 88,500 ton CO <sup>2</sup> assuming the same transport work by car (i.e. 0.15% of total Danish emission)					







## UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

## **Conclusions and summary**

Health Impact Assessment of air pollution is complex and has so far only addressed evenly distributed air pollutants especially secondary and long-range transport-dominateded  $PM_{2.5}$  mass without source specific characteristics or local gradients due to e.g. traffic

Based on the classic pollutants mainly determined by PM <sub>2.5</sub> mass health effects include			
Years of life lost	Europe	Denmark	
All emissions	7,220,000	42,700 ≈ 3000 anthropogenic premature deaths	
Danish emissions	49,000	8,520	

However local traffic emitting ultafine particles and gasses with so far poorly assessed concentration-response are lacking. By means of a series of cohort studies with traffic-related exposure gradients modelled as  $NO_2$  or  $NO_x$  we can estimate the population-related health costs

Estimated by this model and very rough exposure estimates traffic emissions cost around another 2500 premature deaths on top of the 3000 related to  $PM_{2.5}$  although some overlap is likely

Active transport such as cycling has substantially more health benefit for both individual and society than the small excess risk due to more exposure to air pollution

Other local sources such as wood combustion has yet to be addressed but human exposure studies suggest less effect than related to traffic sources on mass basis but exposure higher

Indoor air filtration is likely to reduce risk of living in polluted areas for susceptible people